THE SUNSET CAPITAL OF FLORIDA

FINANCE & BUDGET COMMITTEE SPECIAL MEETING

CITY OF ST. PETE BEACH

155 Corey Avenue
St. Pete Beach, FL 33706

Tuesday, June 6, 2017
3:00 P.M.

Call to Order
Pledge of Allegiance
Roll Call

1. **Changes to the Agenda** – Agenda items to be added, moved or deleted will be addressed at this time. Items added will be assigned agenda item numbers and items that are moved will retain the same item numbers. A notation will be made that those items will be taken out of order.

2. **Audience Comments** – Comments shall be limited to three minutes per person to issues not on the agenda.

3. **Capital Improvement Project Ranking Discussion**

4. **Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Discussion**

5. **Adjournment**

APPEAL

APPEAL: **Florida Statutes 286.0105 Notices of meetings and hearings must advise that a record is required to appeal**. Each board, commission, or agency of this state or of any political subdivision thereof shall include in the notice of any meeting or hearing, if notice of the meeting or hearing is required, of such board, commission, or agency, conspicuously on such notice, the advice that, if a person decides to appeal any decision made by the board, agency, or commission with respect to any matter considered at such meeting or hearing, he or she will need a record of the proceedings, and that, for such purpose, he or she may need to ensure that a verbatim record of the proceedings is made, which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based.

AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT: **Florida Statutes 286.26. Accessibility of public meetings to the physically handicapped.** In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and Florida Statutes, persons needing special accommodations to participate in a meeting should contact City Hall at (727) 367-2735 no later than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the meeting for assistance.

Electronic media must be submitted a minimum of 24 hours in advance to cityclerk@stpetebeach.org

The public is cordially invited to attend.

All agenda material is available for review at City Hall.

THE SUNSET CAPITAL OF FLORIDA

City of St. Pete Beach
Finance Department

155 Corey Avenue
St. Pete Beach, FL 33706

Date:	May 30, 2017
To:	Finance and Budget Committee
From:	Vincent Tenaglia, Administrative Services Director
Subject:	Capital Improvement Project Ranking

Item 3 on the June 6 Committee agenda is intended to establish a priority ranking for the City's capital improvement projects. The following pages include the guidelines maintained by the City for project priority scoring, including a description of evaluation criteria and the intended methodology for scoring individual projects.

CITY OF ST. PETE BEACH

POLICY 1.1.4 OF C.I.E.

FY 2018 – 2022
CAPITAL
IMPROVEMENT
PROGRAM

The Capital Improvement Program

Annually, The City of St. Pete Beach devotes a large portion of the budget to capital projects. The large financial investment is required to maintain and expand public facilities and infrastructure. Ongoing service delivery can be assured only if adequate consideration is given to capital needs. If the City fails to maintain its capital stock, facilities and infrastructure will deteriorate until costly, constant maintenance is required, services are threatened, and the community growth stagnates or even declines. Whether the Cityship is growing, stable, or losing population, it needs to plan and budget for capital assets carefully to maintain existing infrastructure and meet future demand. A Capital Improvement Program (CIP) is a tool that can help ensure that decisions on capital projects and funding are made wisely and are well planned.

What are a capital asset and a capital project? For The City of St. Pete Beach a capital asset is defined as a new or rehabilitated physical asset that is nonrecurring, has a useful life expectancy of greater than three years and a cost of $25,000 or more or is considered to be an asset for which control is desirable. A capital project is undertaken to acquire a capital asset. Examples of capital projects include construction of public buildings, major street improvements, or acquisition of vehicles.

A CIP is a multi-year plan, identifying capital projects to be funded during the planning period. The capital program identifies each proposed capital project to be undertaken, the year in which it will be started or acquired, the amount expected to be expended on the project each year, and the proposed method of financing these expenditures.

The CIP helps to build consensus on what are the most important projects, thus helping to ensure these projects get undertaken first. The CIP also provides a picture of future financing requirements, which will allow the City to better align financial resources with future needs. Additionally, the CIP provides an important tool for growth management.

The CIP should not be confused with the capital improvement budget. The capital improvement budget represents the first year of the CIP. The capital budget is the City's annual appropriation for capital spending and is legally adopted by the City Board. The capital budget authorizes specific projects and appropriates specific funding for those projects. Projects and financing sources listed in the CIP for years other than year 1 (called the "out years") are not authorized until the annual budget for those years is legally adopted. The out years serve only as a guide for future planning and are subject to further review and modification in subsequent years.

Purpose of the CIP

The CIP serves a number of important functions for the City, as described below.

A Formal Mechanism for Decision Making. A basic function of the CIP is to provide The City of St. Pete Beach with an orderly process for planning and budgeting for capital needs. Questions on what to buy, build, or repair; where and when to buy or build; and how much to spend are all dealt with during the CIP process. The City Board and staff must agree on the policies that will shape the program, estimate capital needs, prioritize those needs, identify and schedule funding sources, implement projects, and monitor project delivery. All of these activities are organized through the CIP process.

A Link to a Long-Range Plan. The CIP serves as a link to the City's planning process and should be developed in concert with the comprehensive land use plan, strategic plan, or other long-range plans. Preparation of the CIP considers not only repair and replacement of existing infrastructure but also facilities that are expected to be needed in the future. Changing population and employment patterns, socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of community residents, and land use patterns may bring about the need for new roads, sewer facilities, public buildings, or other capital assets. In developing the CIP, these new demands are weighed against the need to maintain existing infrastructure, based on goals established through the City's planning process.

Financial Management Tool. An overriding consideration in developing the CIP is to prioritize current and future needs to fit within the anticipated level of financial resources. The CIP considers not only what the City needs but, equally important, what it can afford. By explicitly recognizing the City's financial outlook and the revenues and financing mechanisms that will be available for the capital program, projects can be prioritized to ensure that the most important needs and goals of the City are achieved. Moreover, better planning can be undertaken with regard to the scope and timing of capital projects. Developing a financially constrained CIP based on realistic estimates of revenues to be available enhances the ability of the CIP to serve as a planning and management tool rather than a wish list of projects that cannot be fully implemented.

A Reporting Document. The CIP document presents a description of proposed projects that will be undertaken over the five-year plan. This document communicates to residents, businesses, and other interested parties the City's capital priorities and plans for implementing projects. It also includes the expected source of funding for projects, including use of bond proceeds, installment purchase contracts or other debt, reserves, and grant funding. Thus, the community has a better understanding of the City's plan for capital spending and can make decisions accordingly.

Advantages of a Capital Improvement Program

A CIP Focuses Attention on City Goals, Needs, and Financial Capability. Through the CIP process, decision makers select projects that will be consistent with community goals and needs. As part of the process, the City Board, Staff and citizens consider the current and future outlook for community development and the services needed by residents and businesses. This outlook serves as a basis for determining infrastructure and equipment needs to be included in the capital program. An important aspect of developing the CIP is balancing the City's needs with its ability to pay for them. The process thus focuses attention on the financial capability of the government over the long term. Consistency of projects with community goals and needs provides a way to select among competing projects for the limited financial resources available to the City.

A CIP Builds Public Consensus for Projects and Improves Community Awareness. An important component of the CIP process is the involvement of citizens in the selection and prioritization of projects. Public participation helps to build support for capital projects that are both popular and unpopular. The public gains a better understanding of community needs and capitals projects through the process and thus are more likely to support the capital program. Moreover, the CIP process serves to educate citizens on the capital costs associated with City goals, such as economic development. Through the CIP process, the City is able to gain greater insight into the costs residents are willing to bear for these activities. Once approved, the CIP document informs citizen groups, developers, and others of the City's planned public improvements. These groups are then better equipped to plan their own activities and are less likely to pressure for funding of projects not included in the plan.

A CIP Improves Inter-/Intergovernmental Cooperation and Communication. A CIP enhances coordination of capital improvements among departments and agencies both within and outside the City. As a result, fewer scheduling problems and fewer overlapping or conflicting projects are likely to occur. The CIP also serves to inform other local governments of planned capital activity, thus affording them an opportunity to coordinate related project funding and timing. By promoting intergovernmental communication, the CIP helps eliminate project duplication efforts. Capital projects therefore can be planned in conjunction with other government units to best serve the interests of the community as a whole rather than the interest of the City.

A CIP Avoids Waste of Resources. With its multiyear focus, capital improvement programming helps to avoid costly errors resulting from citizen opposition to projects, failure to anticipate linkages with other projects or activities, or insufficient funding. Because capital projects are considered over several years, the City is better able to forge consensus on the need for projects before funds are spent. The multiyear focus also encourages consideration of how different phases of a project must be scheduled, given available funding, in order to complete the project as planned. Finally, the CIP allows the City to anticipate future facility needs and to develop a financing approach that meets those needs.

A CIP Helps to Ensure Financial Stability. By anticipating the fiscal resources that are necessary to pay for capital projects, the CIP can help to promote financial stability. Capital projects are prioritized and scheduled to fit within expected funding levels, thereby limiting the need for dramatic tax increases or unanticipated bond issues in any one year. In addition, by systematically addressing capital needs, the City is less likely to be faced with the need to divert resources from other programs to make unanticipated capital expenditures.

Evaluating Capital Projects

Often the City will find that the list of potential capital projects and purchases far exceeds the available funding. Yet, choosing among them is likely to be difficult. Capital projects are proposed to support different City goals and often benefit particular constituent groups. A methodology is needed both to pare down the list of projects to an affordable level and to balance diverse and sometimes competing community values and needs. The following project evaluation criterion was developed for this purpose.

All capital improvement projects and equipment purchases will be evaluated on the criteria below with a priority factor of 0 to 5, with a priority factor of 0 being not at all and 5 being a very high priority. Each evaluation criteria is weighted based on importance. The priority factor is multiplied by the weight factor to arrive at a criteria score. The scores are added together to achieve a priority ranking.

Public Health & Safety: Weight Factor of 1.50. Does the project or purchase improve the wellbeing of the general public? A priority factor of zero would be it does not affect the wellbeing of the general public and a priority factor of 5 would be it very much affects the wellbeing of the general public.

Employee Health & Safety: Weight Factor of 1.25. Does the project or purchase improve the wellbeing of City employees? A priority factor of zero would be it does not affect the wellbeing of employees and a priority factor of 5 would be it very much affects the wellbeing of employees.

Regulatory Mandate: Weight Factor of 1.50. Is the project or purchase required by a Federal, State, or other governing body mandate? A priority factor of zero would be there is no mandate requiring the project or purchase and a priority factor of 5 would be there is a current mandate. A rating in between would mean there is a mandate that will take place but there is a time period before the City must comply.

Frequent Problem: Weight Factor of 1.25. Are there frequent problems or issues that require the project to be completed? Problems could be that the street requires frequent patching or equipment needs repairs or the design of something causes frequent accidents. A priority factor of zero would be no problems, while a priority rating of 5 would be frequent problems or issues.

Ability to Finance: Weight Factor of 1.00. Does the City have the ability to pay for the project or equipment purchase? A priority factor of zero would mean there is no funding available to finance the project or purchase and a rating of 5 would mean the City has the funds available to finance the project or purchase. Other items to consider would if funds are not currently available, how easily would it be to fund the project in the future?

Cost of the Project: Weight Factor of 1.00. What is the total cost of the project or equipment purchase? The higher the cost of the project the lower the priority factor rating would be. For example a project costing $5,000 may have a priority factor of 5, where as a project costing $5,000,000 may have a rating of zero.

Generates Fees or Revenues: Weight Factor of 1.20. By constructing the project or purchasing the asset can the City generate funds? An example might be that construction of a gazebo in a park could generate rental of the park by the public thus bring funds into the City's budget. Priority factor of zero would be no fees or revenues would be generated from the project or purchase and a rating of 5 would be a large amount of funds could be generated.

Generates Cost Savings: Weight Factor of 1.20. Does the project or purchase result in reduced expenditures for maintenance or repairs? For example repaving a street may result in reduced cost for patching material. The higher the cost savings the higher the priority factor would be.

Ongoing Operations Cost: Weight Factor of 1.00. Is there any ongoing future operation cost due to the project or purchase? For example, the construction of a new City building would have annual electric and utility costs to operate the facility along with other operating costs. The priority factor would be a 5 for a project that has no impact on future operating costs and zero for a project or purchase that has a large future operating budget cost impact.

Age or condition of Existing: Weight Factor of 1.00. If the City has an existing asset that is being replaced, what is the age or condition of the existing asset? A very old asset that is very poor condition would have a priority factor of 5.

Public Benefit: Weight Factor of 1.10. Does the project or purchase provide any benefit to the general public? For example a street improvement project may provide better access to the area, which would be considered a public benefit, whereas the purchase of a public works pickup truck may not provide any public benefit. In the case of the example, the street project would receive a priority rating of a 5, whereas, the truck purchase would receive a zero priority rating.

Public Demand: Weight Factor of 1.25. Are the residents requesting the project be performed or the equipment purchased? For example the residents in an area of the City may desire the purchase and installation of playground equipment in a park. A priority factor of 5 would be high public demand verses a zero for no public demand.

Synergy with Other Projects: Weight Factor of 1.10. Does the purchase or project enhance or influence other City goals or projects? Projects or purchases that affect other projects or purchases would have a rating of 5 whereas, projects or purchases that stand alone would be rated zero.

Strategic Goal: Weight Factor of 1.05. Does the project or purchase meet a strategic goal of the City? An example would be if the City has a goal to increase park use and the project was to build a park shelter, that project may receive a priority factor of 5 for meeting this goal.

Comprehensive Plan Component: Weight Factor of 1.05. Does the project or purchase help achieve a component of the City's Comprehensive Plan? An example would be if the project was to provide assistance with the development of Gulf Boulevard to enhance pedestrian safety, appearance, and traffic control as a means of furthering redevelopment, the project would be rated a 5.

It's important to note that the evaluation criteria above is to be used as a guide and a project that scores low might still be included in a given year based on project affordability and how it fits in with relationship to other projects.

The City of St. Pete Beach's Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan

The rest of the document is the description of each project or equipment purchase proposed. These descriptions include the project name, priority ranking, project type, useful life, and the responsible department. This is followed by the project description and justification where the project or equipment purchase is described in detail. Next is the project costs (expenditures) and funding source section where each department/fund responsible for purchasing or paying for or funding the asset is provided. The next section is for describing any future operational impact the project or purchase will have on future budgets and/or where any other comments about the project or purchase can be provided. Future operational impacts which are projected to increase future expenditures are shown as positive numbers and those which will decrease future expenditures or provide additional revenue are shown in bracketed or as negative numbers. Finally there is the project or equipment purchase priority ranking calculation. These sheets are filled out and provided for each project or asset purchased during the next five-year cycle.

NEW PROJECTS TO BE RANKED

Blind Pass Stormwater Basin Connections

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$800,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Stormwater

Description:

The Blind Pass Road stormwater system is designed to connect 7 flood control basins. This project will connect the first basin to the new twin 60' stormwater pipes. Anticipated project schedule includes one basin per year for the next 7 years until the project is complete. City staff will pursue grant assistance from the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD).

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	60,000
Construction	-	-	185,000	185,000	185,000	185,000	740,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 800,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	800,000
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 800,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Pump Replacement Stock

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$120,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

"Change out" pumps reduce service interruption and the associated emergency maintenance cost. One surplus pump for each of the five different types currently in service will be acquired.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	120,000	-	-	-	-	120,000
Total	$ -	$ 120,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 120,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	120,000	-	-	-	-	120,000
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 120,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 120,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Sub-Aqueous Condition Assessment

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$175,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:
Condition assessment of all force mains to plan future maintenance and replacement. Project includes a highly detailed assessment of the force main leading from pump station no. 1.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	175,000	-	-	-	-	175,000
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 175,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 175,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	175,000	-	-	-	-	175,000
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 175,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 175,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

1st Ave. Jetty and Beach Property Improvements

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$100,000
Project Type:	Beautification
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Beaches

Description:
Project scope includes jetty railing improvements and concrete riprap replacement with natural rock steps.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	4,000	-	-	-	-	4,000
Construction	-	96,000	-	-	-	-	96,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Pump Rehabilitation

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$720,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:
Systematic rehabilitation of pumps in 3-5 lift stations annually.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction		-	-	180,000	180,000	180,000	180,000	720,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ 180,000	$ 180,000	$ 180,000	$ 180,000	$ 720,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	-	180,000	180,000	180,000	180,000	720,000
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ 180,000	$ 180,000	$ 180,000	$ 180,000	$ 720,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

GIS Integration System

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$80,000
Project Type:	Information Technology
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater/Stormwater

Description:
Camera system integrated with GIS mapping for exact pipe and infrastructure location. Software system integrates with the Work Order Management System for mapping maintenance.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	5,000	-	-	-	-	5,000
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	75,000	-	-	-	-	75,000
Total	$ -	$ 80,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 80,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	40,000	-	-	-	-	40,000
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	40,000	-	-	-	-	40,000
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 80,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 80,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	12,500
Total	$ -	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 12,500

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Flow Monitoring System

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$65,000
Project Type:	Information Technology
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

Existing meter only measures cumulative flow for monthly volume billing. There is no record of wet weather events or capability to monitor inflow and infiltration improvements. The new system will record flows continuously and historically with computer monitoring.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment		-	65,000	-	-	-	-	65,000
	Total	$ -	$ 65,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 65,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	65,000	-	-	-	-	65,000
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ 65,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 65,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	5,000
	Total	$ -	$ 1,000	$ 1,000	$ 1,000	$ 1,000	$ 1,000	$ 5,000

Priority Ranking

Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Dune Walkover Replacement

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$120,000
Project Type:	Marine Construction
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Beaches

Description:
Dune walkover replacement at 16th Avenue to meet FDEP requirements.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	10,000	-	-	-	-	10,000
Construction	-	110,000	-	-	-	-	110,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 120,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 120,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	120,000	-	-	-	-	120,000
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 120,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 120,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Facilities Security Assessment and Improvement

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$30,000
Project Type:	Facilities Repair
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Facilities

Description:
Facility security improvements.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		5,000	5,000	-	-	-	-	5,000
Construction		25,000	25,000	-	-	-	-	25,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 30,000	$ 30,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 30,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		30,000	30,000	-	-	-	-	30,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 30,000	$ 30,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 30,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
	Total	$ -	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 2,500

Priority Ranking Criteria:		Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety		1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety		1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate		1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems		1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance		1.00		0.00
Cost of Project		1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue		1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings		1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs		1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing		1.00		0.00
Public Benefit		1.25		0.00
Public Demand		1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects		1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal		1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component		1.15		0.00
	Total			**0.00**

Beach Rinse Stations

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$70,000
Project Type:	Facilities Repair
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Beaches

Description:
Installation of visitor rinse stations at locations to be determined.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	5,000	-	-	-	-	5,000
Construction		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment		-	65,000	-	-	-	-	65,000
	Total	$ -	$ 70,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 70,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	70,000	-	-	-	-	70,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ 70,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 70,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
	Total	$ -	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 2,500

Priority Ranking Criteria:		Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety		1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety		1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate		1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems		1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance		1.00		0.00
Cost of Project		1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue		1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings		1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs		1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing		1.00		0.00
Public Benefit		1.25		0.00
Public Demand		1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects		1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal		1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component		1.15		0.00
	Total			**0.00**

Place Making Improvements

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$75,000
Project Type:	Beautification
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Facilities

Description:

Commonly themed entryway improvements, including signage and other components for place making purposes.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	9,375	-	-	-	-	9,375
Construction	-	65,625	-	-	-	-	65,625
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 75,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 75,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	75,000	-	-	-	-	75,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 75,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 75,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
Total	$ -	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 2,500

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

Public Property Beautification

Priority Rank:	New project; priority to be determined
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$300,000
Project Type:	Beautification
Useful Life:	Estimate pending
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Facilities

Description:
Public facility improvements.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	-	100,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	300,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 100,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 300,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	100,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	300,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 100,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 300,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	500	500	500	500	500	2,500
Total	$ -	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 500	$ 2,500

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50		0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50		0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25		0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00		0.00
Cost of Project	1.00		0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20		0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20		0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00		0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00		0.00
Public Benefit	1.25		0.00
Public Demand	1.10		0.00
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10		0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10		0.00
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15		0.00
Total			**0.00**

RANKED PROJECTS

Wastewater Inflow and Infiltration Repairs

Priority Rank:	1
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$2,700,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	50 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

Priority-based improvements to wastewater system infrastructure. The City received a system-wide inflow and infiltration study in fiscal year 2017, which prioritizes manhole, lining, and pipe point repairs. FY 2018 funding includes $800,000 in remaining debt proceeds from a 2015 loan.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction		2,200,000	1,300,000	500,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	2,700,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 2,200,000	$ 1,300,000	$ 500,000	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	$ 2,700,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		2,200,000	1,300,000	500,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	2,700,000
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 2,200,000	$ 1,300,000	$ 500,000	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	$ 2,700,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:		Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety		1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety		1.50	5	7.50
Regulatory Mandate		1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems		1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance		1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project		1.00	1	1.00
Generates Revenue		1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings		1.20	4	4.80
Ongoing Operation Costs		1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing		1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit		1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand		1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects		1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal		1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component		1.15	5	5.75
	Total			**78.05**

Lift Station Repair and Replacement

Priority Rank:	2
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$800,000
Project Type:	Rehabilitation
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

Priority-based improvements to wastewater lift stations. Project costs are expected to be similar for each lift station, with construction scheduled for the fiscal year subsequent to completion of engineering. Anticipated project schedule includes construction of lift stations no. 8, 16, and 13 in fiscal years 2017-2018; lift stations no. 11 and 13 in fiscal year 2019; lift station nos. 14 and 15 in fiscal year 2020.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		510,198	120,000	20,000	-	-	-	140,000
Construction		288,000	220,000	220,000	220,000	-	-	660,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 798,198	$ 340,000	$ 240,000	$ 220,000	$ -	$ -	$ 800,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		798,198	340,000	240,000	220,000	-	-	800,000
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 798,198	$ 340,000	$ 240,000	$ 220,000	$ -	$ -	$ 800,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	1	1.00
Cost of Project	1.00	1	1.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	4	4.80
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal	1.10	4	4.40
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	4	4.60
Total			**71.80**

ADA Plan

Priority Rank:	3
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$250,000
Project Type:	Code Compliance
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Facilities/Parks/Streets

Description:
ADA access and compliance improvements as needed.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction		50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 150,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 250,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		150,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 150,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 250,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:		Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety		1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety		1.50	1	1.50
Regulatory Mandate		1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems		1.25	3	3.75
Ability to Finance		1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project		1.00	4	4.00
Generates Revenue		1.20	3	3.60
Generates Cost Savings		1.20	2	2.40
Ongoing Operation Costs		1.00	2	2.00
Age or Condition of Existing		1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit		1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand		1.10	4	4.40
Synergy with Other Projects		1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal		1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component		1.15	4	4.60
	Total			**68.50**

Gulf Winds Drive Reconstruction

Priority Rank:	4
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$3,400,000
Project Type:	Street Repair/Utilities Maintenance
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Streets

Description:

Reconstruction of Gulf Winds from 70th Ave. to Gulf Blvd. including wastewater, stormwater, reclaimed water, drinking water, underground electric service, streetscaping, and bike lanes. Gulf Winds Drive is a heavily trafficked roadway that runs through residential neighborhoods. Traffic calming with defined bicycle lanes and pedestrian walkways will significantly improve safety. City staff will pursue grant assistance from the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD).

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	400,000	-	-	-	400,000
Construction	-	-	-	1,500,000	1,500,000	-	3,000,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ 400,000	$ 1,500,000	$ 1,500,000	$ -	$ 3,400,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	1,000,000	1,000,000	-	2,000,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	400,000	-	-	-	400,000
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	250,000	250,000	-	500,000
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	250,000	250,000	-	500,000
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ 400,000	$ 1,500,000	$ 1,500,000	$ -	$ 3,400,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	1	1.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	1	1.00
Cost of Project	1.00	3	3.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	4	4.80
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	0	0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal	1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	5	5.75
Total			**65.05**

Sewer Expansion North

Priority Rank:	5
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$100,000
Project Type:	Rehabilitation
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

This project is one of two that are designed to restore capacity to the wastewater system. A new force main will run from pump station no. 2 to no. 1, with total costs estimated at $6,000,000. Project financing reflects a public-private partnership with the Tradewinds Resort to fund capital outlay in exchange for the receipt of future revenue streams. Staff anticipates awarding professional engineering services in support of the project.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	435,000	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 435,000	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	435,000	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 435,000	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	3	4.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	2	2.00
Cost of Project	1.00	0	0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	2	2.40
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	1	1.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal	1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	5	5.75
Total			**64.65**

Sewer Expansion South

Priority Rank:	6
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$5,000,000
Project Type:	Rehabilitation
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

This project is one of two that are designed to restore capacity to the wastewater system. A new force main will connect to the existing one at 37th Ave. at Gulf Blvd. and run to pump station no. 2. The City is scheduled to receive $1,000,000 from the State of Florida for this project in FY 2018. Financing plan anticipates utilizing $2,000,000 in remaining proceeds from a 2015 loan and borrowing an additional $2,000,000 to complete the project funding.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		435,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction		-	3,000,000	2,000,000	-	-	-	5,000,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 435,000	$ 3,000,000	$ 2,000,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 5,000,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		435,000	2,000,000	-	-	-	-	2,000,000
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	2,000,000	-	-	-	2,000,000
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	1,000,000	-	-	-	-	1,000,000
	Total	$ 435,000	$ 3,000,000	$ 2,000,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 5,000,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	3	4.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	2	2.00
Cost of Project	1.00	0	0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	2	2.40
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	1	1.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal	1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	5	5.75
Total			**64.65**

Valve Vault Repair

Priority Rank:	7
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$150,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	40 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Wastewater

Description:

The combined valve vault where the outflow from the Cities of Treasure Island and St. Pete Beach enter the City of St. Petersburg's wastewater system are frozen in the open position. This project will install a new 20-inch valve. A follow-on project is required to install a second valve with a check valve between them for future maintenance and operational requirements.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	100,000	150,000	-	-	-	-	150,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 160,000	$ 150,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 150,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	160,000	150,000	-	-	-	-	150,000
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 160,000	$ 150,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 150,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	1	1.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	5	7.50
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	0	0.00
Cost of Project	1.00	3	3.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	0	0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal	1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	5	5.75
Total			**59.25**

Street Rehabilitation

Priority Rank:	8
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$3,250,000
Project Type:	Street Repair
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Streets

Description:

Citywide street paving and alley shelling. City staff determines annual priorities based on updated condition reports. The recommended budget amount should gradually increase the City's overall Pavement Condition Index (PCI). Comprehensive approach taking into consideration the condition of all infrastructure when prioritizing. Street and alley inventories updated in FY 2015.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	20,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	220,000
Construction		250,000	630,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	3,030,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 250,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 3,250,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	280,648	330,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	2,560,648
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		250,000	369,352	320,000	-	-	-	689,352
Wastewater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 250,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 650,000	$ 3,250,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:		Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety		1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety		1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate		1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems		1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance		1.00	2	2.00
Cost of Project		1.00	3	3.00
Generates Revenue		1.20	3	3.60
Generates Cost Savings		1.20	5	6.00
Ongoing Operation Costs		1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing		1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit		1.25	4	5.00
Public Demand		1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects		1.10	3	3.30
Strategic Goal		1.10	3	3.30
Comprehensive Plan Component		1.15	3	3.45
	Total			**58.90**

Library Improvements

Priority Rank:	9
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$100,000
Project Type:	Facilities Repair
Useful Life:	40 Years
Department:	Administrative Services
Division:	Library

Description:
Facility condition is deteriorating and in need of repairs. Previous funding was designated for roof replacement and other repairs as outlined in the building analysis study. Fiscal year 2018 request includes HVAC replacement.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	10,000	-	-	-	-	10,000
Construction		365,065	90,000	-	-	-	-	90,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 365,065	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		365,065	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 365,065	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	4	6.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	4	6.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	3	3.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	1	1.20
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	5	6.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	0	0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	4	4.40
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	4	4.40
Strategic Goal	1.10	1	1.10
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	0	0.00
Total			**54.60**

Reclaimed Water Improvements

Priority Rank:	10
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$1,000,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	40 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Reclaimed

Description:
Capital improvements to the City's reclaimed water system. Repair locations are coordinated with street repaving (mill and fill) projects. The City contracts for repairs with Pinellas County.

		Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs								
Planning/Engineering		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction		25,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	1,000,000
Capital Equipment		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 25,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 1,000,000
Funding Sources								
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund		25,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	1,000,000
Stormwater Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ 25,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ 1,000,000
Operational Impact								
Personnel Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:		Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety		1.50	1	1.50
Employee Health & Safety		1.50	1	1.50
Regulatory Mandate		1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems		1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance		1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project		1.00	3	3.00
Generates Revenue		1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings		1.20	4	4.80
Ongoing Operation Costs		1.00	0	0.00
Age or Condition of Existing		1.00	4	4.00
Public Benefit		1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand		1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects		1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal		1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component		1.15	5	5.75
	Total			**54.55**

Pass-a-Grille Restrooms

Priority Rank:	11
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$120,000
Project Type:	Utility Maintenance
Useful Life:	40 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Reclaimed

Description:

Addition of new restrooms at Pass-a-Grille Beach at a location to be determined. City staff will pursue grant assistance from the Florida Recreation Development Assistance Program (FRDAP).

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	20,000	-	-	-	-	20,000
Construction	-	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 120,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 120,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	120,000	-	-	-	-	120,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 120,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 120,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	4	6.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	2	2.50
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	5	5.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	4	4.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	4	4.40
Strategic Goal	1.10	4	4.40
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	1	1.15
Total			**49.20**

Playground Equipment Replacement

Priority Rank: 12
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost: $148,000
Project Type: Facilities Repair
Useful Life: 15 Years
Department: Public Works
Division: Parks

Description:
Replacement of existing playground equipment in McKenny and Hurley parks in FY 2018 and FY 2019, respectively. Parks are assessed annually by a Certified Playground Inspector for safety. City staff will pursue grant assistance from the Florida Recreation Development Assistance Program (FRDAP).

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	88,000	60,000	-	-	-	148,000
Total	$ -	$ 88,000	$ 60,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 148,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	38,000	60,000	-	-	-	98,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	50,000	-	-	-	-	50,000
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ 88,000	$ 60,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 148,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	3	3.75
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	5	5.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	2	2.40
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	4	5.00
Public Demand	1.10	4	4.40
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	2	2.20
Strategic Goal	1.10	2	2.20
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	1	1.15
Total			**48.60**

Stormwater Improvements

Priority Rank: 13
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost: $3,000,000
Project Type: Utility Maintenance
Useful Life: 40 Years
Department: Public Works
Division: Stormwater

Description:
Ongoing improvements to the City's stormwater infrastructure. Repair locations are prioritized based on stormwater flooding throughout the City. City staff will pursue grant assistance from the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD).

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	175,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	3,000,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 175,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 3,000,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	175,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	3,000,000
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 175,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 600,000	$ 3,000,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	3	4.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	2	2.50
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	0	0.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	3	3.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	5	5.50
Strategic Goal	1.10	5	5.50
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	5	5.75
Total			**48.50**

Seawall Rehabilitation

Priority Rank:	14
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$500,000
Project Type:	Marine Construction
Useful Life:	50 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Parks

Description:
Seawall repair and replacement in accordance with established level of service. City staff review inventory and prioritize project locations annually.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	45,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	150,000
Construction	155,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	350,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 200,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 500,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	500,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 200,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 500,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	4	6.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	3	3.75
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	5	5.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	1	1.20
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	4	5.00
Public Demand	1.10	3	3.30
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	2	2.20
Strategic Goal	1.10	4	4.40
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	2	2.30
Total			**48.15**

Hurley Field Renovation

Priority Rank:	15
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$177,500
Project Type:	Facilities Repair
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Parks

Description:

Due to appearance and condition, City staff recommends the renovation of Hurley Park baseball field including improvements to storage, fencing, fields, restrooms, concession stand, and lighting. Hurley Park is the primary staging ground for the General Contractor working on the Pass-a-Grille Way reconstruction project, which could impact the scope and schedule of field renovations. City staff will pursue grant assistance from the Florida Recreation Development Assistance Program (FRDAP).

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	-	26,625	-	-	26,625
Construction	-	-	-	150,875	-	-	150,875
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 177,500	$ -	$ -	$ 177,500
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	177,500	-	-	177,500
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 177,500	$ -	$ -	$ 177,500
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	2	3.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	3	3.75
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	4	4.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	2	2.40
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	5	6.25
Public Demand	1.10	5	5.50
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	2	2.20
Strategic Goal	1.10	4	4.40
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	1	1.15
Total			**47.65**

Don Vista Improvements

Priority Rank:	16
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$100,000
Project Type:	Facilities Repair
Useful Life:	25 Years
Department:	Recreation
Division:	Recreation

Description:

Previous improvements included facility stucco repair. Fiscal year 2018 request includes HVAC replacement. City staff will pursue historical building grants for funding assistance.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	10,000	-	-	-	-	10,000
Construction	100,000	90,000	-	-	-	-	90,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	100,000	100,000	-	-	-	-	100,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 100,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	5	7.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	5	6.25
Ability to Finance	1.00	0	0.00
Cost of Project	1.00	5	5.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	0	0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	2	2.50
Public Demand	1.10	2	2.20
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	0	0.00
Strategic Goal	1.10	3	3.30
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	0	0.00
Total			**39.25**

Facility Improvements

Priority Rank:	17
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$250,000
Project Type:	Facilities Repair
Useful Life:	14 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Facilities

Description:

Staff has assessed Citywide facilities to determine needs for miscellaneous repairs and improvements. Annual funding reflects an increase from $35,000 in prior years to $50,000 beginning in FY 2018.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Construction	35,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 35,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 250,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	35,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 35,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ 250,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	1	1.50
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	0	0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	5	5.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	0	0.00
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	5	5.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	5	5.00
Public Benefit	1.25	3	3.75
Public Demand	1.10	2	2.20
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	1	1.10
Strategic Goal	1.10	1	1.10
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	1	1.15
Total			**30.80**

Gulf Blvd. Electric Undergrounding

Priority Rank:	18
FY 2018 - FY 2022 Total Cost:	$4,500,000
Project Type:	Beautification
Useful Life:	50 Years
Department:	Public Works
Division:	Streets

Description:

Undergrounding of electric utility lines adjacent to Gulf Blvd. utilizing funding available through an interlocal agreement with Pinellas County. Project schedule is contingent upon the ability to develop an effective conduit path for utility lines.

	Prior	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	CIP Total
Capital Costs							
Planning/Engineering	1,100,000	250,000	-	-	-	-	250,000
Construction	-	4,250,000	-	-	-	-	4,250,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 1,100,000	$ 4,500,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 4,500,000
Funding Sources							
Capital Projects Fund (GF Transfer)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capital Projects Fund (Penny for Pinellas)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wastewater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reclaimed Water Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Proceeds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interlocal Agreement	1,100,000	4,500,000	-	-	-	-	4,500,000
Grant	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Appropriation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	$ 1,100,000	$ 4,500,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ 4,500,000
Operational Impact							
Personnel Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Costs	-	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500	12,500
Total	$ -	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 2,500	$ 12,500

Priority Ranking Criteria:	Weighting Factor	Priority Factor	Score
Public Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Employee Health & Safety	1.50	0	0.00
Regulatory Mandate	1.50	0	0.00
Frequent Problems	1.25	0	0.00
Ability to Finance	1.00	5	5.00
Cost of Project	1.00	1	1.00
Generates Revenue	1.20	1	1.20
Generates Cost Savings	1.20	0	0.00
Ongoing Operation Costs	1.00	0	0.00
Age or Condition of Existing	1.00	1	1.00
Public Benefit	1.25	4	5.00
Public Demand	1.10	4	4.40
Synergy with Other Projects	1.10	3	3.30
Strategic Goal	1.10	3	3.30
Comprehensive Plan Component	1.15	1	1.15
Total			**25.35**

City of St. Pete Beach
Finance Department

155 Corey Avenue
St. Pete Beach, FL 33706

Date:	May 30, 2017
To:	Finance and Budget Committee
From:	Vincent Tenaglia, Administrative Services Director
Subject:	Comprehensive Annual Financial Report Summary

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION:

Balance Sheet Summary:

- Total assets increased 8.2%
- Total liabilities increased 5.0%
  - **As of September 30, 2016 total assets and deferred outflows of resources exceeded total liabilities and deferred inflows of resources by $42.5M**

Balance Sheet Components:

- Cash
  - Decreased 1.1% from $33.7M to $33.3M
    - Debt proceeds expended: $735K
  - **As the City continues to execute its capital improvement program, debt proceeds will be drawn upon and cash balance will ultimately be expected to decline.**
- Capital assets
  - Increased 14.8% from $45.5M to $52.2M
  - Completed projects:
    - Beach walkovers
    - Landscaping of Pinellas Bayway
    - Landscaping of Gulf Blvd.
    - Street rehabilitation
    - Library improvements
    - Warren Webster facility improvements
    - Rehabilitation of lift stations no. 8 and no. 3
  - Construction in progress
    - Pass-a-Grille Way improvements
- Long-term debt
  - Decreased 3.2% from $25.1M to $24.3M as scheduled
- Net pension liability
  - Increased 7.0% from $16.7M to $17.9M
    - Police pension actuarial assumption change increased liability $1M
  - **The recording of net pension liability per GASB 68 has resulted in negative unrestricted net position: $(4,810,794).**

FUND STATEMENTS:

General Fund Highlights:

- Property tax
  - 7.5% property value increase
  - Millage rate unchanged
    - New revenue: $452K
- Building permits
  - Exceeded budget by nearly $140K
- Parking pay stations
  - Exceeded budget by nearly $800K
- Lawsuit settlement
  - BP oil spill
    - $1.1M net of attorney fees
- Unassigned balance
  - Increased 13.2% from $5.3M to $6.1M
  - **Unassigned balance should be considered a focal point for analysis of the General Fund. It is commonly used to evaluate whether a government has sufficient capacity and flexibility to manage unanticipated costs or budgetary shortfalls. The City of St. Pete Beach's goal is to maintain a minimum unassigned fund balance equivalent to three months (25%) of operating expenditures. As of September 30, 2016, the City's balance represented 36.6% of operating expenditures.**

Enterprise Fund Highlights:

- Reclaimed Water Fund
  - Revenue virtually unchanged
  - Contractual and other service expenditures increased $77K
    - $75K reclassification from capital outlay to maintenance expense
- Stormwater Fund
  - 45% tier 1 rate increase; 25% tier 2 rate increase
    - New revenue: $70K
  - New staffing
    - Personnel expenditures increased $65K
- Wastewater Fund
  - 9.75% rate increase
    - New revenue: $777K
  - Contractual and other service expenditures increased $711K
    - Hurricane Hermine
      - Equipment rental: $277K increase
    - $393K reclassification from capital outlay to maintenance expense
  - **Transfers from enterprise funds to the Capital Projects Fund increased from $81K to $147K, all of which was derived from the Wastewater Fund in connection with the Pass-a-Grille Way improvements project.**

DISCUSSION FEEDBACK - MAY 10, 2017:

- Public Safety Expenses
  - Mr. Hale questioned the increase in public safety expenditures reported on page 86 of the CAFR, noting that police expenditures increased from approximately $2.9M to $6.0M. Following are the cost components of the reported total:

	FY 2016 Expenses
Pinellas County Sheriff's Office contract	$2,270,603
Contributions to police pension fund	$533,195
State of Florida pass-through funds to police pension	$86,942
Operating expenses (phone, printing, etc.)	$585
Loss associated with demolition of former police station	$1,855,165
Pension expense	$1,286,533
Total	$6,033,023

- Wells Fargo
  - Mr. Samorajczyk inquired about the City's access to a line of credit. The City's agreement with Wells Fargo does not include this provision.

- Pass-a-Grille Way Improvements
  - Ms. Hacker requested an update on Pass-a-Grille Way construction. City Manager Saunders will be in attendance at the June 6th committee meeting to provide an update on the project schedule.